

GCE

Psychology

Unit H567/01: Research methods

Advanced GCE

Mark Scheme for June 2018

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA) is a leading UK awarding body, providing a wide range of qualifications to meet the needs of candidates of all ages and abilities. OCR qualifications include AS/A Levels, Diplomas, GCSEs, Cambridge Nationals, Cambridge Technicals, Functional Skills, Key Skills, Entry Level qualifications, NVQs and vocational qualifications in areas such as IT, business, languages, teaching/training, administration and secretarial skills.

It is also responsible for developing new specifications to meet national requirements and the needs of students and teachers. OCR is a not-for-profit organisation; any surplus made is invested back into the establishment to help towards the development of qualifications and support, which keep pace with the changing needs of today's society.

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which marks were awarded by examiners. It does not indicate the details of the discussions which took place at an examiners' meeting before marking commenced.

All examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the published question papers and the report on the examination.

© OCR 2018

Annotations

Annotation	Meaning
?	Unclear
AE	Attempts evaluation
BOD	Benefit of doubt
CONT	Context
×	Cross
EVAL	Evaluation
	Extendable horizontal line
~~~	Extendable horizontal wavy line
IRRL	Significant amount of material which doesn't answer the question
NAQ	Not answered question
RES	Good use of resources
<b>✓</b>	Tick
<b>√</b> .	Development of point
_	Omission mark

### **Section A: Multiple choice**

Question	Answer
1	В
2	Α
2 3 4	Α
4	Α
5	D
6	В
7	Α
8	Α
9	В
10	Α
11	В
12	D
13	D
14	С
15	Α
16	D
17	А
18	В
19	А
20	А

### Section B: Research design and response

Write an	appropriate research aim for the study. [2]		
Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
Question 21	Something like  The aim was to investigate what people do on a long journey Or, to investigate if there are differences in the behaviour of people of different ages whilst on a long journey Clearly written aim Attempt to write aim The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	Marks Max 2  2 1 0	-Context = journey (including modes of transport – e.g. car, bus, plane, train etc), and/or any relevant related behaviours from the candidates suggested behavioural categories)  -Accept answers relating to an overall aim (e.g. to investigate what people do on a long journey) or ones with a more specific focus (e.g. to investigate if there are differences in the behaviour of people of different ages whilst on a long journey) etc  -For 2 marks, as a guide look for the 'what' (is being studied) and 'where' (e.g. mode of transport, such as train or car, or, just referring to a 'journey').  Example 2 mark response To investigate if people read or text more whilst on a train, or To see what kind of behaviours people engage in to pass the time whilst on a journey  -Some example 1 mark responses To investigate boredom To investigate people on trains
			-Cap at 1 mark if worded as a question (e.g. will people read more on a train or use at their phones?)

Explain how you would use the naturalistic observation method to conduct this research. Justify your decisions as part of your explanation.

In your answer, the required features that you must refer to are:

• participant or non-participant observation
• behavioural categories
• time or event sampling
• how data will be recorded during the observation
You should use our own experience of practical activities to inform your response. [15]

Question Answer Marks

22

*The mark scheme is a little different when it comes to this question. What you are being **driven** by is the left hand column of the grid ('details of the required features (RFs)'. That is always your starting point and 'locator' for the appropriate mark band before considering the other two columns ('justification of decisions made' and 'reference to own practical work').

Level of response	Details of required features (RFs) included	Justification of decisions made	Reference to own practical work	Additional guidance	
Good 12-15 marks	-All 4 required features (RFs) addressed  -Accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature in context  -Good evidence of application of required features in context	-Appropriate justification of all decisions and some is contextualized  -Well developed line of reasoning that is clear and logically structured	-Explicit reference to own practical work and clear links between own work and the planned research for each required feature.	-Context = journey (including modes of transport – e.g. car, bus, plan train etc), and/or any relevant related behaviours from the candidates suggested behavioural categories)  RF1  To be regarded as having been 'addressed' there must be some description, and not just naming/stating 'participant', or 'non-participant obs' will be used. If	
Reasonable 8-11 marks	-All 4 required features addressed  -Reasonably accurate and detailed knowledge and understanding of each feature  -At least three applications of required features in context  If three required features are addressed in deta links made to own practical work award 9 marks		e.g. specific mention of aim or procedural features  -For top band (good) 12 marks if just one RF linked, 13 marks if two, 14 marks if three and 15 if all four are	observation technique incorrectly named, then counts as not addressed (e.g. claiming participant obs is used but actually describing non-participant)  RF2  Sufficient here to identify / name the behavioural categories to be used (whether presented as a list or in a table)  RF3  To demonstrate understanding must provide a definition, or must be a clear distinction between event and time sampling (e.g. for event sampling making it clear that each time every behaviour from the	
<b>Limited</b> 4-7 marks	-Two of the required features addressed in context  -Limited application of required features  OR three or all four required features referred to but in a limited way (in context or not)  If one required feature addressed in detail and j made to own practical work award 4 marks	-Attempt to justify decision(s) but weak -Evidence of some structure, but weak ustified in context and explicit links	-If there is no explicit clear link between own practical work and any of the 4 required features	over what total time period – the end half-an-hour etc). For time sampline example 'record behaviour every 1 explaining for how long (e.g. just a for 30 seconds) at that interval = linere. If sampling named incorrection to addressed (e.g. claiming event but actually describing time sampling names amplined.	behavioural categories occur they are recorded and over what total time period – the entire journey, first half-an-hour etc). For time sampling if just saying for example 'record behaviour every 10 minutes' without explaining for how long (e.g. just at that moment, or for 30 seconds) at that interval = limited response here. If sampling named incorrectly, then counts as not addressed (e.g. claiming event sampling is used but actually describing time sampling)
Basic 1-3 marks	-One of the required features addressed -Weak application of required features OR more than one of the required features referred to but in a very brief and/or basic way	-None, or if present very weak	caps the mark at 11 maximum.	It is appreciated there may be some overlap here with what has already been discussed in relation to some of the other RFs already addressed. Typical responses here may include: ref to where the observer(s) will be positioned and what they will be doing whilst observing; use of a tally chart / system; covert or overt recordings; use of video etc	

Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance
23	observers are positioned; number of	cussed / agreed beforehand; where of observers etc	Max 6	-Context = journey (including modes of transport – e.g. car, bus, plan train etc), and/or any relevant related behaviours
	For each thing referred to that may			from the candidates suggested
	Clear, detailed response in context		3	behavioural categories)
	Clear, detailed response but not in context	OR attempt in context	2	-Accept answers that relate to things
	Brief and/or weak attempt to descril inter-rater reliability (whether in con	•	1	that would make inter-rater reliability low or high (or both)
	The candidate has not provided any	y creditworthy information	0	-Remember, inter-rater reliability is not the extent to which if the study was repeated the same findings would be obtained. It is concerned with the ability of two or more observers to look out for and record the same behaviours in the same way.
				-Nothing creditworthy for ref to the influence of situational variables, such as the time of day, length or journey or the number or types of people on the train in different carriages etc

Out	line or	e strength and one weakness of u	sing the naturalistic obs	servation r	nethod in this study. [6]
	stion	Answer		Marks	Guidance
24		Strengths could include: partic unaware they are being obser- participants behaviour; sampli behaviour; increased validity e	ved; no restrictions on ng more natural	Max 6	-Context = journey (including modes of transport – e.g. car, bus, plan train etc), and/or any relevant related behaviours from the candidates suggested behavioural categories)
		Weaknesses could include: re- problematic; demand characte desirability IF people realise / I watched; ethical consideration	ristics / social become aware of being		-Lack of control over extraneous variables and the impact these could have on the findings is creditworthy here -Re ethics and the use of 'consent'.
		Clear, detailed outline of streng		3	Some ethical considerations are creditworthy, but using the issue of lack of 'consent' as a weakness is not really
		Clear, detailed outline of strength / weakness but not in context	OR attempt to outline strength / weakness in context	2	appropriate if the planned research is described as occurring in a public place – e.g. a train. Consent IS creditworthy as a strength in fact, where the point could
		Brief and/or weak attempt to o weakness (whether in context	or not)	1	be made that as it is a public place direct formal consent is not required.
		The candidate has not provide information	d any creditworthy	0	-Reference to research not being replicable on its own without any elaboration (e.g. influence of an extraneous variable) is not creditworthy
					-Example 1 mark responses could include just saying something likeHigh in ecological validity as a natural environment (coach journey) -strength is more natural behaviour can be observed participants don't know they're being observed no control over extraneous variables
					2 mark example High in ecological validity as a natural environment (coach journey) so common behaviours will be observed

	escribe two ways you would address the ethical consideration of 'responsibility' in relation to this study. [6]			
Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance
25	Under the new (2015) BPS (British considerations 'responsibility' in ge participants. More specifically it inc protection of participants (ensuri (mentally or physically); and debrief (informing participants abo done afterwards (and sharing findir	neral refers to the general care of ludes: ng participants are not harmed ut how and why the research was	Max 6	-Context = journey (including modes of transport – e.g. car, bus, plan train etc), and/or any relevant related behaviours from the candidates suggested behavioural categories)  -Both points could be about (different)
	etc)			aspects of the same thing – e.g. two
	Clear, detailed description in conte		3	points about protection for harm in
	Clear, detailed description but not in context	OR attempt in context	2	some way
	Brief and/or weak attempt to describe how to address the ethical consideration of 'responsibility' (whether in context or not)		1	-Responses referring to ethical considerations in general (e.g.
	The candidate has not provided any	y creditworthy information	0	deception, or keeping data anonymous etc) without explicit reference to how this could relate to 'responsibility' (e.g. protection of participants in some way) are not creditworthy
				-Some example 1 mark responses  Debrief participants  Ask if pps happy for their data to be used
				-If a candidate just identifies 'protection and/or and 'debrief' then award 1 mark

# Section C: Data analysis and interpretation

Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance
26 (a)	157/20 = 7.85		Max 3	-Remember to check table of data
	The mean is 7.85, so to two signif	icant figures = 7.9		presented in the question as some
	Mean correctly stated to two signif	ean correctly stated to two significant figures with all workings shown		candidates may have written their
	Mean correctly stated to two	OR workings shown but mean not	2	answer here
	significant figures but with no	written to two significant figures		
	workings shown			2 mark =
	Mean only stated but not to two si	Mean only stated but not to two significant figures		157/20 = 7.85 (as not to two SFs)
	The candidate has not provided a	ny creditworthy information	0	7

Calc	ulate t	e median rating of the importance of physical appearance for being in love given by females. [2]		
Que	stion	Answer		Guidance
26	(b)	1,1,2,3,3,3,3,3,3,4,5,5,5,5,5,6,6,7,7,9 The median is 4.5	Max 2	-Remember to check table of data presented in the question as some
		Median correctly stated with workings shown		candidates may have written their
		Median correctly stated but with no workings shown OR working answer be	•	answer here
		The candidate has not provided any creditworth	/ information 0	

The mode is 3	Remember to check table of data
NA I di titi i	resented in the question as some
Mode correctly stated   1   c	andidates may have written their
The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information <b>0</b> a	inswer here

Question	Answer		Marks	Guidance	
27 (a)	Males range = 5 (10-5) Females range = 8 (9-1)		Max 4	If not clear what refers to male and female (i.e. if just figures presented) cap 2	
	*Also accept answers from the alter includes +1	native calculation for the range that		-*If a candidate has calculated the range	
	Males range = 6 (10-5(+1)) Females range = 9 (9-1(+1))			overall (across males and females combined) correctly, and shown workings can be awarded 4 marks. For example, If some lack of clarity in eithe the labelling of what the figure presented	
	*Combined males & females (10-1)	= 9 (or +1 = 10)			
	For each calculation of the range (i.e.	e. for males and females)		refers to and/or the calculations cap at 2	
	Range correctly stated with correct	workings shown	2	7	
	Range correctly stated but with no workings shown	OR correct workings shown without answer being stated or answer wrongly stated	1		
	The candidate has not provided any		0	7	

Question	nd females. [3] Answer	Marks	Guidance
27 (b)	For example Females (range = 9) vary more than males (range = 6) in how much they think the importance of physical appearance is for being in a relationship. Males are more consistent, suggesting men are of the same or similar opinion that appearance does matter in terms of forming relationships than females.	Max 3	-Context = love (and things 'love related' -Note: a conclusion is an interpretation of a finding (here the interpretation of the range). It is not creditworthy just to state findings again on their own
	Accept any other appropriate conclusion.  Clear outline of conclusion in context	3	-Nothing creditworthy for conclusions
	Attempt in context	2	based on other descriptive statistics (e.g.
	Attempt but not in context	<del>_</del>	the mean), or incorrectly interpreting the
	The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	range as an indicator average rating (e.g claiming that females regard appearance as more important than males)  1 mark example There is more variation in females than males scores 2 mark example There is more variation in females than males scores about physical appearance Example 3 marks Males are more consistent, suggesting men are of the same or similar opinion that appearance does matter in terms of forming relationships than females.

Question	Answer					Marks	Guidance	
28 (a)	decim accep	The answer presented as the X² value will vary slightly depending on how many decimal places are used at different stages of the calculation. Therefore accept the overall X² answer as anything between 5.0 and 5.02 (e.g. 5.0, 5.01278772, 5.012, 5.02) and to any number of decimal places  Step-by-step calculations for Chi square test					Max 5	Rationale for allocation of marks As the expected frequencies are already provided, there are essentially four steps left to perform to reach the correct calculation. As there are 5 marks
	Cell	O-E	(O-E) ²	(O-E) ² \ E	Σ (O-E) ² \ E			in total to be awarded, the
	а	5 - 8.5 = -3.5	$(-3.5)^2$ = 12.25	12.25 / 8.5 = 1.441	1.441		breakdown is one mark for each step correctly performed, with the extra mark for showing all relevant	
	b	15 – 11.5 = 3.5	$(3.5)^2$ = 12.25	12.25 / 11.5 1.065	1.065			
	С	12 – 8.5 = 3.5	$(3.5)^2$ = 12.25	12.25 / 8.5 1.441	1.441 <u>1.065</u> <b>5.012</b>			*Please note where candidates have calculated X ² cell-by-cell this is also creditworthy
	d	8 – 11.5 = –3.5	$(-3.5)^2$ = 12.25	12.25 / 11.5 1.065	<u> 3.012</u>			
		Correct calculation with all workings shown						,
		Correct calculation of the sum of (O-E) ² /E but with some or all workings missing					4	-Alternative ways of using the
		Correct calculation of each individual (O-E) ² /E value					3	formula, providing it arrives at the
		Correct calculation of each individual (O-E) ² value					2	correct cell value (expressed as a
		Correct calculation of each individual (O-E) value					1	decimal or even fraction) and overall answer is creditworthy (
	The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information					0	some students who learn abo in different subjects may do thi	

Usir	ng the	extra	ct of the tables of critical values for the chi square test presented be	low, what is t	he critical value at the			
5%	5% probability level for data collected in this study? [2]							
Que	Question		Answer		Guidance			
28	8 (b)		The critical value is 3.841	Max 2	-If a candidate just circles the correct critical value in the table award 2 marks (as to be able to do this requires			
			Critical value correctly stated	2	knowledge of what df to use)			
			Degrees of freedom correctly identified as 1 but without stating the critical value from the table (or incorrectly stating the critical value)	1	-Award 1 mark if df = 1 is just circled on			
			The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information	0	the table (so remember to check table)			

Writ	te the sig	nificance statement for the analysis p	erformed on this data using the Ch	i square tes	st. [2]	
Que	estion	Answer		Marks	Guidance	
28	(c)	X ² = 5.01, df=1, p<0.05 <b>OR</b> In words e.g. this is a significant result (at the calculated value of X ² had to be equivalue (3.841) to be significant		Max 2	Example 2 marks (written version) As the calculated value is higher than the critical it is significant  -Example 1 mark response The null is rejected, and/or the	
		Correctly written significance statement (calculated value, degrees of freedom and probability level)	OR written in words rather than a formal statement	2	alternative hypothesis is accepted	
		just stating p<0.05	OR weak and/or brief written response	1		
		The candidate has not provided any	creditworthy information	0		

Using the data presented in the pie chart in Figure 1, calculate the ratio of how many people said that personality was the most important thing for love compared to those that said wealth was. Show your workings, [4]

Question	n	Answer		Marks	Guidance	
29		Answer = <b>3:1</b> First, the number of people repres 'personality' as most important for 'wealth' as most important for love a knowledge of percentages.  37.5% of 40 = 37.5/100 x 40 = 15		Max 4	-Zero if ratio presented the wrong way round (1:3)	
		12.5% of 40 = 12.5/100 x40 = 5 So the ratio is 15:5, which can be Correctly stated ratio with all work		4		
		Correctly stated ratio but with some or all workings missing	OR correctly stated ratio, but not simplified (i.e. left at 15:5)	3		
	Some correct workings shown					
	Some correct workings shown but also with some that are incorrect			1		
	The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information					

Eval	uate th	ne populat	ion validity of the da	ta collected in this stu	ıdy. [6]			
Ques	Question Answer		wer			Marks	Guidance	
30				dity is a form of externa dings from research car	Max 6	-Context = love (and things 'love related')		
	people who did not take part directly in the research.					-Accept positive and/or negative evaluation points as creditworthy		
				ould be made here inclu			-Do not accept as creditworthy any	
	(40) and how this affects generalising the findings to others; balance of males and females (20 males, 20 females in the sample); potential bias in the sample due to the use of the self-selected sampling method used (so only those interested in, or currently in, or out of love may have volunteered to take part etc). etc					general evaluation points related to the methodology used in general (e.g. use of		
					of love may have		the self-report method etc)	
			r evaluation with two of the points must be	or more points with som in context)	ne context (for 6 marks	5-6		
		Clea	r evaluation with	OR one clear	<b>OR</b> two points, one in	3-4		
	two or more points evaluation point in context one not							
		made but not in context context						
	Attempt to evaluate population validity (whether in context or not)				n context or not)	1-2		
		The candidate has not provided any creditworthy information				0		

Question	duestion Answer		Marks	Guidance
31	Responses here will vary depending candidate investigated in their cho		Max 3	-Note: it is not necessary to know the full aim or hypothesis of the candidates chosen practical activity in order to be
	Conclusion clearly outlined in cont	ext	3	able to gain full marks here.
	Conclusion clearly outlined but not in context	OR attempt to outline conclusion in context	2	-Must be a conclusion (interpretation o
	Brief and/or weak attempt to outlin not)	e conclusion (whether in context or	1	a finding) and not simply the presentation of results / findings.  Cap at 1 mark max if just a finding(s) / result(s) presented
	The candidate has not provided ar	ny creditworthy information	0	
				For 3 marks there must be sufficient detail / elaboration. For exampleThe conclusion could be elaborated be suggestion of an application of the outcome of their study
				-An explanation of why the findings occurred as they did is another way or elaborating on their response

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations)
The Triangle Building
Shaftesbury Road
Cambridge
CB2 8EA

#### **OCR Customer Contact Centre**

#### **Education and Learning**

Telephone: 01223 553998 Facsimile: 01223 552627

Email: general.qualifications@ocr.org.uk

#### www.ocr.org.uk

For staff training purposes and as part of our quality assurance programme your call may be recorded or monitored

Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations is a Company Limited by Guarantee Registered in England Registered Office; The Triangle Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge, CB2 8EA Registered Company Number: 3484466 OCR is an exempt Charity

OCR (Oxford Cambridge and RSA Examinations) Head office

Telephone: 01223 552552 Facsimile: 01223 552553



